Judicial Information System Committee Meeting, December 4, 2020

DECISION POINT – Updated JIS Data Standards Version 2.0.7 and Provisional Approval Process

MOTION:

1. I move to approve the JIS Data Standards for Local Automated Court Record Systems (Data Standards) version 2.0.7 with all changes that have been provisionally approved.

2. I move to allow AOC to continue the provisional process for approving updates to the JIS Data Standards during the course of future integrations with the Enterprise Data Repository (EDR).

I. BACKGROUND

The current JIS Data Standards (version 2.0.6) were approved by the JISC on December 6, 2019. The Data Standards contain the general and specific data elements that local automated court record systems must send to the EDR for sharing on a statewide basis. The statewide standards are necessary to ensure the availability and integrity of statewide information on which all courts, judicial partners, AOC, and the general public depend. The standards specify that changes to the contents of the standard are to be approved through the ITG process, with the JISC as the approving authority.

On December 4, 2015, the JISC approved a process for interim updates to be made to the JIS Data Standards during the Expedited Data Exchange project. The first EDR integration pilot, King County Clerk's Office, went live in July 2019. King County District Court went live with its EDR integration on November 2, 2020. AOC is currently working on EDR integrations with Seattle Municipal Court, Kitsap District Court, and Olympia Municipal Court. AOC is also working on chartering a data governance committee to vet future integrations.

II. DISCUSSION

During the integration process, the technical teams may discover important information that is missing from the standard. When that happens, the project team will incorporate the change on a provisional basis and then forward the change through a broader work group and to the JISC for final approval and inclusion in the standard. This proposed process is necessary for quick action due to the urgency of the timeline for EDR integrations.

III. OUTCOME IF NOT PASSED

New data elements may be necessary for future integrations with the EDR. If there is not a provisional approval process, those integrations with the EDR will be delayed while AOC goes through the process of vetting the proposal to add a new data element to the standard. This will impact the timeline/schedule for these future integrations.